

Validity Of The Vafa-Witten Proof On Absence Of Spontaneous Parity Breaking In QCD

Xiangdong Ji*

Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The vacuum energy response of a quantum field theory is studied as a function of complex external fields coupled to the symmetry-breaking observables of the theory. It is found that the energy density is insensitive to spontaneous symmetry breaking effects along a special direction in the complex plane. In particular, in the case of vector-like gauge theories such as QCD, the change in energy generated by coupling to pure-gluonic parity-odd operators is always a growing function of the external fields along the real axis, independent of the mode in which symmetry is realized. In light of this, although there is little doubt that parity is not spontaneously broken in massive QCD, the validity of the Vafa and Witten proof is, however, questionable.

*Electronic address: xji@physics.umd.edu

Sometime ago Vafa and Witten made a proof that no spontaneous breaking of parity can occur to vector-like gauge theories such as quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [1]. The argument is simple and elegant: Consider an external field λ coupled to a parity-odd observable X constructed entirely out of gauge potentials. After applying hermiticity and Wick rotation, the extra term in the field-theoretic action contributes to the Feynman path integral as a multiplicative, pure phase factor. With a positive-definite integrand as in vector-like gauge theories, the phase factor can only lower the value of the generating functional Z . Hence the vacuum energy of the system must increase with the external coupling, and consequently spontaneous parity breaking can never happen.

Although there is little doubt that parity is not spontaneously broken in the real-world QCD, the Vafa-Witten proof has stimulated much discussion in the literature. Aoki found a parity-breaking phase in lattice QCD with Wilson fermions which satisfies all conditions of the Vafa-Witten proof [2]. Sharpe and Singleton argued that the observables constructed out of quark fields do have different properties from those of pure gluonic operators, and contrary to a comment made by Vafa and Witten [3]. The question arises then why all the gluonic observables have zero expectation values in the symmetry breaking phase. Along a different line of investigation, Azcoiti and Galante found that the vacuum energy density in the presence of the external symmetry breaking source simply does not exist. Therefore, the very starting point of the Vafa-Witten proof is in doubt [4]. In a recent publication, Cohen [5] showed that the Vafa-Witten proof does not apply to finite temperature QCD systems because there exist observables whose couplings to external fields do not contribute to the path-integral through just pure phase factors. In fact, parity-breaking effects in heavy-ion collisions have been discussed recently by Kharzeev, Pisarski, and Tytgat [6].

In this paper, we show that Vafa-Witten's proof overlooked a special property of the vacuum energy response: this response is insensitive to spontaneous symmetry breaking effects along a special direction in the plane of complex probing fields. This direction happens to be the real axis in the case of coupling to parity-odd pure-gluonic observables. As such, a spontaneous parity breaking would have no effect upon the perturbed vacuum energy density. Symmetry-breaking effects, if there are, emerge only if the probing fields are analytically continued off the real axis. However, Vafa and Witten's argument no longer applies there. In short, it may well be that the parity is conserved in the real world QCD, however the Vafa-Witten proof is not satisfactory.

To begin, let us consider a Minkowski field theory with lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ which is invariant under a symmetry group G . Suppose that in the vacuum the symmetry is spontaneously broken down to a subgroup H . Let a constant field λ couple to a Lorentz-invariant observable X which is a singlet under H but not under G . The perturbed lagrangian density reads,

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L} + \lambda X . \quad (1)$$

The vacuum energy density $E(\lambda)$ of the perturbed system can be calculated as a Feynman path integral,

$$\exp(-iE(\lambda)V_4) = \int [D\phi] \exp\left(i \int d^4x (\mathcal{L} + \lambda X)\right) , \quad (2)$$

where V_4 is the four-volume and the limit $V_4 \rightarrow \infty$ is implicitly understood. We are interested in the behavior of $E(\lambda)$ near the origin of the complex λ plane. We will assume that measure is positive-definite although most of our results are independent of this assumption.

It is instructive to consider at first the vacuum energy response when there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking. Then the expectation value of X in the vacuum state is zero, and $E(\lambda)$ is analytic in the neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$. Expanding $E(\lambda)$ to the second order, we have

$$E(\lambda) = E(0) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\lambda^2 + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where the coefficient α is real. It is easy to see that the real part of $E(\lambda)$ has a saddle point at $\lambda = 0$: If $\alpha > 0$ (“diamagnetic” case), $\text{Re}E(\lambda)$ increases along the real axis of λ (the ridge) and decreases along the imaginary axis (the valley) at the same rate. On the other hand, when $\alpha < 0$ (“paramagnetic” case), $\text{Re}E(\lambda)$ has a similar shape except that the real and imaginary axes are interchanged, i.e., the energy surface is rotated by 90° .

The “paramagnetic” or “diamagnetic” character of an observable X can be identified from a property of the perturbation under Wick rotation. If the resulting Euclidean action is

$$S_E(\lambda) = S_E - \lambda X V_4, \quad (4)$$

where X is real function of the fields and V_4 is a four-volume, the observable is paramagnetic. This is easily seen from the second-order derivative of the vacuum energy at $\lambda = 0$,

$$\frac{d^2 E(\lambda)}{d\lambda^2} = - \int [D\phi] X^2 \exp(-S_E) / \int [D\phi] \exp(-S_E), \quad (5)$$

which is negative definite because X^2 and the integration measure are both positive. On the other hand, if the Euclidean action is,

$$S_E(\lambda) = S_E - i\lambda X V_4, \quad (6)$$

(with an extra factor of i), the observable is diamagnetic. A general observable can be a mixture of two kinds. In QCD, the topological charge density $F\tilde{F}$ is diamagnetic, whereas the scalar density $\bar{\psi}\psi$ is paramagnetic.

For any finite four-volume V_4 , there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking, and the energy response remains a saddle. How does the saddle change in the spontaneously symmetry broken phase as V_4 goes to infinity? The answer to this question is crucial to finding a diagnosis for possible spontaneous symmetry breaking.

For definiteness, we focus first on the “paramagnetic” case. When spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs, the system develops multiple vacua (an infinite number in the case of a continuous symmetry breaking). Since the vacuum energy is the same in all the vacua, the symmetry breaking perturbation $\lambda X V_4$ selects a particular vacuum as the physical vacuum in the path integral. For real λ , the physical vacuum is determined by minimization of the first-order energy density perturbation

$$\lambda \langle \text{vac} | X | \text{vac} \rangle. \quad (7)$$

The result, of course, depends on the sign of λ . Let us use $|0\rangle$ to denote the physical vacuum when $\lambda > 0$ and $|0'\rangle$ to denote $\lambda < 0$. It is well known that $E(\lambda)$ has a cusp at $\lambda = 0$ and $V_4 = \infty$. We will soon discuss the physical mechanism of developing this cusp as V_4 approaches the infinity.

The energy density in the symmetry breaking phase can be continued off the real axis into the complex λ plane. When $\text{Re}\lambda > 0$, the path integral again selects $|0\rangle$ as the unperturbed ground state. We use $E^+(\lambda)$ to denote this branch of the vacuum energy. Likewise, when $\text{Re}\lambda < 0$, the path integral selects $|0'\rangle$ as the vacuum, and the corresponding energy is denoted by $E^-(\lambda)$.

The interesting physics happens on the imaginary axis of λ . To see this, we start with a finite volume V_4 at which $E(\lambda, V_4)$ is an analytic function of λ in the neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$. Slightly away from the origin and along the imaginary axis, there are isolated zeros of the partition function: Since λ is pure imaginary, there is no clear preference in the path integral for any particular vacuum state. The contributions from different vacua have different phase factors. The partition function zeros result from cancellation among contributions of different vacua. This can be clearly seen in a simple example discussed in Ref. [4]. A zero of the partition function generates a logarithmic cut in the free energy, and we will choose the cut along the imaginary axis that goes to $\pm i\infty$, depending on whether the zero is in the upper or lower half of the plane. These logarithmic singularities are the seeds for developing the cusp in $E(\text{Re}\lambda)$ at $\lambda = 0$ and $V_4 = \infty$. Indeed, as V_4 becomes large, the phase factor is a rapid oscillating function of λ , and the density of the singularities grow. Meanwhile, these singularities march towards the origin from both sides of the real axis and finally pinch the $\lambda = 0$ point at $V_4 = \infty$. Along the imaginary axis, all logarithmic cuts coalesce into a single cut. This picture of cusp generation is analogous to Lee and Yang's theory of phase transitions in a gaseous system [7].

According to the above the two branches of the vacuum energy from different sides of the imaginary axis do not coincide on the axis. In particular, the imaginary parts have a non-vanishing discontinuity across the cut, $\text{Im}E^+(i\text{Im}\lambda) \neq \text{Im}E^-(i\text{Im}\lambda)$. On the other hand, the real parts are equal across the logarithmic cut at any finite volume, $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{Re}E(i\text{Im}\lambda - \epsilon, V_4) = \text{Re}E(i\text{Im}\lambda + \epsilon, V_4)$. The equality shall survive the infinite volume limit, and the result can be defined as *the* vacuum energy at imaginary λ . [In the example presented in Ref. [4], the infinite volume limit seems undefined. In a real situation, the volume cannot be measured with an infinite accuracy, and the energy must be averaged over a range of volume. The oscillatory term is hence eliminated.] As a consequence, $\text{Re}E(\lambda)$ is still a continuous function in the neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$, but the first order derivative of E is now discontinuous everywhere across the imaginary λ , i.e., the cusp at $\lambda = 0$ is extended to the entire neighborhood on the imaginary axis.

The fact that $\text{Re}E^+(i\text{Im}\lambda)$ is the same as $\text{Re}E^-(i\text{Im}\lambda)$ implies that the vacuum energy has no sensitivity to spontaneous symmetry breaking on the imaginary axis of λ , and in particular, it cannot be used as an observable to diagnose the presence of such symmetry breaking. As we have remarked earlier, at an imaginary λ the symmetry breaking term λXV_4 does not serve to select a particular vacuum state in the path integral, and the vacuum energy calculated can be thought of as averaged over all the vacua. As such, it has no linear dependence in λ even in the symmetry breaking phase,

$$\text{Re}E(i\text{Im}\lambda) = E - \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\text{Im}\lambda)^2. \quad (8)$$

This form is exactly the same as that in the symmetric phase considered earlier. The expectation value of X calculated using this energy response vanishes, corresponding to the result averaged over all vacua.

The change of the vacuum energy response from the symmetric (a saddle) to the symmetry-breaking phase happens as follows: in the neighborhood of $\lambda = 0$ and along

the ridge, the energy is still a quadratic (with a positive curvature) function of λ . In the direction of valley, however, it is a linear function of λ at each side of the ridge. Because the slopes at both sides are different, the vacuum energy has a cusp at every point along the ridge.

We are ready to consider the “diamagnetic” case relevant to Vafa and Witten’s proposition. Here the vacuum energy response is similar to the “paramagnetic” case, except the real and imaginary axes are interchanged. The energy along the real axis is now generically a growing quadratic function of the external field λ as in the symmetric phase. As such, in vector-like gauge theories, the energy perturbation generated by pure gluonic parity-breaking observables *always increases* away from $\lambda = 0$, *independent of the mode* of symmetry realization. Vafa and Witten used this growth to conclude that parity cannot be spontaneously broken in QCD [1]. However, the above discussion shows that even if spontaneous parity breaking does occur, the energy as a function of real λ has the same growing behavior as in the unbroken phase.

How does one find the trace of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the vacuum energy when an diamagnetic observable is perturbed? According to the above, the answer is simply to rotate the external field to the imaginary axis. The coefficient of the linear term in λ is the expectation value of the observable in the symmetry-breaking vacuum. Note that for a general observable which is a mixture of paramagnetic and diamagnetic characters, the special direction along which the vacuum energy response is insensitive to spontaneous symmetry breaking is a straight line in the complex plane passing through the origin.

A proof that the parity-odd condensate $\langle 0 | \bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \psi | 0 \rangle$ vanishes in massive QCD can be found in Ref. [8]. This may be sufficient to conclude that no spontaneous parity breaking occurs for the real world QCD. For massless QCD, it is possible to direct the chiral condensate to the $\bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \tau_3 \psi$ direction by applying an appropriate external field [3, 8]. Although one can make a chiral transformation to rotate the condensate back to the $\bar{\psi} \psi$ direction and hence a new form of parity remains conserved, parity breaking effects may be seen by coupling the massless QCD to electromagnetism.

In summary, we have shown there exists a special direction in the vacuum energy response surface on the complex plane of probing fields along which spontaneous symmetry breaking causes no special effect. This direction is just the real axis for diamagnetic observables in vector-like gauge theories. Therefore, although there is little doubt that spontaneous parity breaking does not occur in massive QCD, the Vafa-Witten proof, however, is invalid.

I thank J. W. Chen, T. Cohen, and P. Hoodbhoy for discussions. This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy via grant DE-FG02-93ER-40762.

-
- [1] C. Vafa and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 535 (1984).
 - [2] S. Aoki, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 2653 (1984); S. Aoki and A. Grotzsch, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 3845 (1992).
 - [3] S. Sharpe and R. Singleton Jr., Phys. Rev. D **58**, 074510 (1998).
 - [4] V. Azcoiti and A. Galante, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1518 (1999).
 - [5] T. Cohen, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 047704 (2001).
 - [6] D. E. Kharzeev, R. D. Pisarski, and M. H. G. Tytgat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 512 (1998); D. E. Kharzeev and R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 111901 (2000).
 - [7] C. N. Yang and T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **87**, 410 (1952).

- [8] K. Bitar, U. Heller, and R. Narayanan, Phys. Lett. B **418**, 167 (1998); R. Edwards, U. M. Heller, R. Narayanan, R. L. Singleton Jr., Nucl. Phys. B **518**, 319 (1998).